

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to air a
strongly anti-Kerry
pseudo-documentary
days before the
election is unfair
and possibly an
illegal use of its
multiple FCC
licenses.

Sinclair is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. Is airing
an extremely
lopsided
pseudo-documentary
(Stolen Honor) just
days before the
election without
giving equal time
and weight to a
pro-Kerry
documentary in the
interest of all of
the public, or just
in the interest of
Sinclair and Bush
supporters? I may
be mistaken, but I
thought
broadcasting
companies had a
legal duty to serve
all of the public,
not just a narrow
segment. I also
thought they had a
duty to investigate
the accuracy of
information
contained in such a
show so as not to
broadcast libelous
and slanderous
accusations.

Please immediately
investigate Sinclair
Broadcasting to
insure that it is
using its FCC
licenses as
intended. And
please put them on
notice that if they
choose to air Stolen
Honor in an obvious
attempt to sway the
outcome of the
coming election,
that they may be
placing their

licenses in jepardy.

Thank you.